

ABSTRACT

# **Plant Archives**

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2022.v22.splecialissue.022

## EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON THE PARAMETERS OF TASAR SILK COCOON PRODUCTION ON ASAN PLANTATION

Soma Karmakar<sup>1\*</sup>, A.K. Srivastava<sup>1</sup>, T. Pandiaraj<sup>2</sup> and Jyostna Tirkey<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Department of Botany, Ranchi University, Ranchi, India-834008

<sup>2</sup>College of Agriculture Campus (Narendra Deva University of Agricultural Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya),

Azamgarh, U.P., India-276207

<sup>3</sup>Director (Retd.) CMER & TI, Jorhat, Assam, India-785700

\*Corresponding author Email: smarten436@gmail.com

A field experiment was carried out at Central Tasar Research & Training Institute, Nagri, Ranchi. To study the effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on Cocoon parameters. The treatments consist of different levels of nutrient management in an integrated manner with either organic manure or biofertilizer or a combined manner imposed with three replications in RBD design. The results revealed a significant effect shown on the various cocoon parameters. A higher Single cocoon weight (19.604 g), Shell weight (2.854 g ), Shell ratio (15.45%), Silk filament length (1248.15 ) and Denier (10.82 )were observed as compared to control.

Keywords : Biofertilizer, Cocoon, Denier , Organic manure and Silk filamentl

## Introduction

Silkworm, Antheraea mylitta Drury is a polyphagous insect that feeds on three primary and dozens of secondary food plants (Sreenivas and Shamitha, 2017). It is known as" Wild silk" because it breeds on local trees like Sal, Arjun and Sajabut does not breed on mulberry trees (Vigneswaran et al., 2015). Since 4000 years ago silk has played an important role in the economic life of man. During the lean period of the year, it's an ideal sideline activity to earn income. Natural silk has reigned supreme as the "Queen of Textiles", due to its some of the qualities like texture, luster, tensile qualities, comfort, adaptability to all climatic conditions and its ability to take up dyes making it an unequaled textile material (Baby Joseph and Justin Raj, 2012). The growth and development of tasar silkworm larvae and the economic characteristics of cocoons are directly proportional to the nutritional content of leaves (Srivastava et al., 2017). The quality of leaves depends on soil fertility and the supply of essential nutrients from the soil in a balanced manner (Subbaswamy et al., 2004). Food quality greatly influences larval growth, the weight of cocoons, silk yield and physical-mechanical properties of silk thread (Samokhvalova et al., 1972). Silks are fibrous proteins, insects spun them into fibers (Vollrath, 1999). In the cocoon filament silk protein is an essential constituent (Kamatsu, 1975). The use of less quantity of organic manure leads to the ill health of the soil and thus lowers the quality of leaves and cocoons (Nambiar and Abrol, 1992; Kumar and John, 1999). The weight of the cocoons is directly correlated to the protein content of the leaves for normal silk production during the 5<sup>th</sup> in star of the caterpillar. However, an integrated nutrient management system is an alternative approach to reducing the use of

chemical fertilizer and combined use of chemical fertilizers with organic materials such as animal manures, crop residues, green manure, composts and biofertilizer is found to maintain steady crop production for a longer time (Sujathamma *et al.*, 2014). The application of organic manures improves the soil physical, chemical and biological properties (Kerenhap *et al.*, 2007). The availability and uptake of nutrients to plants are affected by the use of chemical fertilizer for a prolonged period (Subbaswamy *et al.*, 1994). Thus, the present work was carried out to study the Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on the parameters of Tasar silk Cocoon production.

## **Materials and Methods**

The present work was carried out in the field of Central Tasar Research & Training Institute (CTR & TI), Ranchi on the Asan plant (*Terminalia tomentosa*). The treatments consist of the different nutrient management with manures, biofertilizers and a combined both in an integrated fashion along with chemical fertilizers (Table 1). The experiment was designed in Complete Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with three replications.

**Table 1 :** Treatments followed in the experimental site in

 CTR & TL, Ranchi

Treatments	Treatments				
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute Control				
T <sub>2</sub>	Control with recommended dose fertilizer(RDF)				
T <sub>3</sub>	50% RDF through fertilizer+50% through vermicompost				
$T_4$	75% RDF through fertilizer+25% through vermicompost				
T <sub>5</sub>	100% RDF through fertilizer+2% through vermicompost				
T <sub>6</sub>	50%RDF+Azotobacter				
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	75%RDF+Azotobacter				

T <sub>8</sub>	100%RDF+Azotobacter
T9	50% RDF+ Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria(PSB)
T <sub>10</sub>	75%RDF+PSB
T <sub>11</sub>	100%RDF+PSB
T <sub>12</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> +Azotobacter
T <sub>13</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> +Azotobacter
T <sub>14</sub>	T <sub>5</sub> +Azotobacter
T <sub>15</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> +PSB
T <sub>16</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> +PSB
T <sub>17</sub>	T <sub>5</sub> +PSB
T <sub>18</sub>	T <sub>3</sub> +Azotobacter+PSB
T <sub>19</sub>	T <sub>4</sub> +Azotobacter+PSB
T <sub>20</sub>	T <sub>5</sub> +Azotobacter+PSB

### **Cocoon Parameters**

The following parameters of cocoon characters were measured as per the methods of (Mahesh, 2004).

#### Single cocoon weight

The weight of a single cocoon was recorded from each treatment after being spun by a silkworm. It was expressed in g per cocoon.

#### Single shell weight

The shell's weight was observed after removing the pupae and last larval skin and expressed in g.

#### Shell ratio (%)

The shell ratio percentage was calculated by using the following formula.

Shell = 
$$\frac{\text{Weight of cocoon shell}(g)}{\text{Weight of whole cocoon}(g)} \times 100$$

## Post Cocoon Parameters

## Single cocoon filament length

About ten cocoons were randomly selected from each replication from different treatments and were reeled on epprouvette to find the filament length of the cocoon and expressed in meter. Single cocoon filament length was computed by using the following formula

 $L(m) = R \times 1.125$ 

Where,

L= Total Cocoon filament length (m)

R= Number of revolutions recorded by epprouvette

1.125- Circumference of epprouvette in meters

### Denier

The raw silk filaments reeled with epprouvette were dried in the oven at 70°C and denier was determined by using the formula

Denier = 
$$\frac{\text{Weight of single cocoon filament (g)}}{\text{Weight of single cocoon filament (m)}} \times 9000$$

#### Statistical Analysis

The effects of the treatments on the measured parameters were evaluated using descriptive ANOVA and SPSS 20.0. When F-values were significant, the least significant difference (LSD) was used to compare means. In all cases, differences were deemed to be significant if  $P \le 0.05$  and comparisons were performed with Duncan's Multiple

Range Test (DMRT) to identify the significant critical differences (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Cocoon Characters**

The commercial characters of cocoons of *Antheraea mylitta* Drury, *viz.*, single cocoon weight (g), single shell weight (g), shell ratio (%), silk filament length (m) and Denier were measured at experimental sites CTR&TI., Ranchi.

The cocoon weight of the samples ranged from 8.24 to 19.60 g  $cocoon^{-1}$  with an average of 15.00 g  $cocoon^{-1}$ . Cocoon spun by the silkworms fed with Asan leaves raised using 50% RDF through fertilizer + 50% through vermicompost + PSB (T<sub>15</sub>) registered significantly higher single cocoon weight (19.60 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>) and it was on par with  $T_{14}(18.93 \text{ g cocoon}^{-1})$ . The treatment  $T_{16}(18.029 \text{ g})$ were observed as next to higher and found on par with each other. In contrast, lower cocoon weight was measured with  $T_1$  (8.24 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>) treatment (Fig 1). The single shell weight widely ranged from 0.90 to 2.85 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup> with an average of 1.79 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>. The  $T_{19}$  treatment was applied with 75% RDF through fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB had significantly increased shell weight of cocoon by 2.85 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup> followed by T15 and T14 as 2.217 and 2.123 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The treatments such as  $T_{16}$  (1.984 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_{18}$  (1.979 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_{17}$ (1.978 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>),  $T_{20}$  (1.928 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_{13}$  (1.914 g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded statistically similar shell weight of cocoon and not differed each other. The less weight of the cocoon shell (0.896 g) was observed with the  $T_1$  treatment. Different INM practices treated significantly increased the shell ratio of tasar cocoons (Fig. 1). The shell ratio of tasar cocoon samples ranged from 10.50 to 15.45% with a mean value of 11.95%. During the investigation, a significantly higher shell ratio percentage (15.45%) was registered when silkworms were reared on the leaves as raised by the application of 75% RDF through fertilizer + 25 % through vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (T<sub>19</sub>). A lower shell ratio was observed with  $T_{12}(10.50\%)$  i.e. 50% RDF through fertilizer + 50 % through vermicompost (Fig 2). The cocoon filament length ranged from 318.32 to 1248.15 m with a mean of 759.99 m. The silk filament length of tasar cocoon (1248.15 m) was significantly increased by  $T_{19}$  (75% RDF + 25% through vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) followed by  $T_{15}(1092.13 \text{ m})$ ,  $T_{14}(1079.32 \text{ m})$ ; while shorter filament length (318.32 m) was observed from  $T_1$  treatment (Fig. 2). The denier of silk filament for the cocoon samples ranged from 5.55 to 10.82 with a mean of 10.11. The INM treatment of T<sub>9</sub> showed a significant and higher denier (10.82) than other treatments. However, it was statistically on par with treatments such as  $T_4$  (10.79),  $T_{18}$  (10.76),  $T_{20}$  (10.74),  $T_{16}$  (10.59),  $T_{19}$  (10.54),  $T_{17}$  (10.50),  $T_{13}$  (10.47),  $T_{7}$  (10.46) and  $T_{11}$  (10.43). In this study, a lower denier was found to be observed with the  $T_{14}(8.55)$  treatment (Fig. 3). The application of organic manure, inorganic fertilizer and biofertilizer fixes atmospheric N, supplies P, and synthesis other nutrients, vitamins, amino acids, hormones, etc., which help to enhance the growth, metabolism and physiological activity of the host plants which results in improvement of leaf quality led to improved silkworm growth and cocoon characters (Ram Rao et al., 2007). An increase in nitrogen

significantly influences cocoon production and has a profound influence on larval, cocoon and shell weights, shell percentage and cocoon yield as nitrogen promotesthe protein content of the leaf (Narayanswamy *et al.*, 2006). INM practices strongly influence the improvement of cocoon weight, shell weight and shell ratio (Thangamalar *et al.*,

2018, Mathan and Ramesh, 2016). The combined application of organic manure, inorganic fertilizer and biofertilizer mitigate the deficiency of many secondary and micronutrient organically produced leaves and supplements the nutritional requirement of silkworms by producing a nutritionally balanced leaf (Arulmozhi and Sakthivel, 2018).

**Table 1 :** Commercial cocoon characters of *Antheraea mylitta* Drury [single cocoon weight (g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>), single shell weight (g cocoon<sup>-1</sup>), shell ratio (%), silk filament length (m cocoon<sup>-1</sup>) and Denier] as influenced by various INM practices at CTR&TI., Ranchi.

Treatments	Cocoon weight	Shell weight	Shell ratio	Silk filament length	Denier
T1	8.24 <sup>m</sup>	0.90	10.87 <sup>efg</sup>	318.32 <sup>m</sup>	9.30 <sup>d</sup>
T2	9.48 <sup>1</sup>	1.31	13.81 <sup>c</sup>	485.97 <sup>1</sup>	$10.05^{bc}$
T3	9.67 <sup>1</sup>	1.38	14.30 <sup>bc</sup>	595.19 <sup>ij</sup>	10.01 <sup>bc</sup>
T4	14.49 <sup>hi</sup>	1.67	11.52 <sup>de</sup>	615.73 <sup>i</sup>	10.79 <sup>a</sup>
T5	12.21 <sup>k</sup>	1.78	14.56 <sup>b</sup>	795.76 <sup>fg</sup>	10.37 <sup>bc</sup>
T6	13.45 <sup>j</sup>	1.82	13.55 <sup>c</sup>	974.32 <sup>c</sup>	8.85 <sup>d</sup>
T7	14.33 <sup>i</sup>	1.70	11.82 <sup>de</sup>	675.35 <sup>h</sup>	$10.46^{ab}$
T8	10.36 <sup>1</sup>	1.47	12.23 <sup>d</sup>	556.39 <sup>k</sup>	$9.27^{cd}$
Т9	15.26 <sup>h</sup>	1.68	10.99 <sup>efg</sup>	635.79 <sup>i</sup>	$10.82^{a}$
T10	16.17 <sup>g</sup>	1.80	11.13 <sup>ef</sup>	801.15 <sup>fg</sup>	10.18 <sup>bc</sup>
T11	15.40 <sup>h</sup>	1.63	10.57 <sup>g</sup>	601.27 <sup>i</sup>	10.43 <sup>ab</sup>
T12	15.22 <sup>hi</sup>	1.60	10.50 <sup>g</sup>	535 <sup>k</sup>	10.14 <sup>bc</sup>
T13	17.29 <sup>fg</sup>	1.91	11.07 <sup>efg</sup>	865.12 <sup>de</sup>	$10.47^{ab}$
T14	18.93 <sup>ab</sup>	2.12	11.21 <sup>efg</sup>	1079.32 <sup>b</sup>	$8.55^{d}$
T15	19.60 <sup>a</sup>	2.22	11.31 <sup>efg</sup>	1092.13 <sup>b</sup>	9.28 <sup>d</sup>
T16	18.03 <sup>cde</sup>	1.98	11.00 <sup>efg</sup>	887.39 <sup>d</sup>	10.59 <sup>ab</sup>
T17	18.53 <sup>bcd</sup>	1.98	$10.67^{fg}$	827.12 <sup>efg</sup>	$10.50^{ab}$
T18	17.54 <sup>def</sup>	1.98	11.28 <sup>ef</sup>	826 <sup>efg</sup>	$10.76^{ab}$
T19	18.47 <sup>bcd</sup>	2.85	15.45 <sup>a</sup>	1248.15 <sup>a</sup>	$10.54^{ab}$
T20	17.38 <sup>ef</sup>	1.93	11.09 <sup>efg</sup>	784.32 <sup>g</sup>	$10.74^{ab}$
Mean	15.00	1.79	11.95	759.99	10.11
Range	8.24-19.60	0.90-2.85	10.50-15.45	318.32-1248.15-	8.55-10.82
SEm.±	0.19	0.03	0.17	13.55	0.15
LSD (P=0.05)	0.55	0.09	0.49	3.94	0.43



**Fig. 1 :** Cocoon weight (g) and Shell weight of tasar silkworm as reared at *T. tomentosa* as influenced by various INM practices at CTR&TI., Ranchi



**Fig. 2 :** Cocoon Shell ratio (%) and Silk filament length of tasar silkworm as reared at *T. tomentosa* as influenced by various INM practices at CTR&TI., Ranchi



Fig. 3 : Denier of tasar silkworm as reared at *T. tomentosa* as influenced by various INM practices at CTR&TI., Ranchi

## Conclusion

The current study inferred that the application of integrated nutrient management had a significant effect on the various cocoon parameters like single cocoon weight, single shell weight, shell ratio, silk filament length and denier as compared to the application of chemical fertilizers. Hence, the finding of the present investigation will be of immense importance to sericulture farmers for sustainable production of quality cocoons.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Director of Central Tasar Training & Research Institute for providing field and Lab facilities.

### References

- Anil Kumar, A.S. and John, P.S. (1999). Integrated nutrient management for sustainable mulberry production in humid tropic. In: moriculture in Tropics Vol. I. *Proc. Natl. Sem in tropical Seric*, UAS GKVK, Bangalore, pp.53-57.
- Baby, J. and Raj, S.J. (2012) Therapeutic applications and properties of silk proteins from *Bombyx mori. Frontiers in life Science*, 6(3-4): 55-60.
- Vigneswaran, C.; RidhuNivethinni, D.; Shilpaa, K. and Dhanapal, S. (2015). Tussar Silk: Scope for improving quality by enzyme technology. *Journal of Textile and Apparel Technology and Management*. 9(3): 1-9.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. *John Willey and Sons. New York, USA*.
- Komatsu, K. (1975). Studies on dissolution behaviours and structural characteristic of silk sericin. *Bull Sericult Exp Sta.* 26: 135-256.
- Mahesh, D.S. (2004). Effect of different organic manures on properties of soil in relation to growth and yield of mulberry and cocoon productivity. M.Sc. thesis. University of agricultural sciences, Bangaluru.
- Mathan Kumar G. and N. Ramesh.,2016 Investigation on high quality and enriched yield of silk from *Bombyx mori* (Silkworm) fed with bio-treated Mulberry leaves.
  PG & Research Department of Botany. Governments Arts College of Men Krishnagiri, Tamil nadu, India.

- Nambiar, K.M. and Abrol, I.P. (1992). Long term Fertilizer experiments in India-An overview. Fertilizer News. 34: 11-26.
- Narayanaswamy, T.K.; Rajegowda Shankar, M.A. and Sreeramulu, K.R. (2006). Effect of different organic manures on growth and yield parameters of M<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>36</sub> Mulberry Varieties. Research on Crops. 7(2): 541-543.
- Sujathamma, P.; Savithri, G.; Vijaya Kumari, N.; Asha Krishna, V.; Vijaya, T.; Sairam, K.V.S.S. and Reddy, S. (2014). Effect of organic fertilizers on quantitative and qualitative parameters of silk cocoon production. *International Journal of plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences*, 4(2): 272-278.
- Ram Rao D.M.; Kodandaramaiah, J.; Reddy, M.P.; Katiyar, R.S. and Rahmathulla, V.K. (2007). Effect of VAM fungi and Bacterial biofertilizers on mulberry leaf quality and silkworm cocoon characters undersemiarid conditions. *Caspian J. Env. Sci.*, 5(2):111-117
- Arulmozhi Devi, S. and Sakthivel, N. (2018). Impact of Repeated applications of Chemical fertilizers in mulberry cropping system on soil health, leaf production and rearing parameters of silkworm *Bombyx* mori L. International Journal of Plant and Soil Sciences, 23(2):1-11.
- Samokhvalova, G.V.; Simonov, N.S and Ionov, V.M. (1972). Effect of food quality on the biology of *Bombyx mori* L. and the physio mechanical properties of the silk thread, *Veestn. Mosk. Univ. Ser 6 Biol.Pochvoved.* 27(1): 19-24.
- Sreenivas, M. and Shamitha, G. (2017). Comparative study on rearing performance, larval and post-cocoon character of Tasar silkworm, *Antheraeamylitta* Drury ecoraces (Sukinda, Daba-TV and Andhra local). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(2): 1348-1356.
- Srivastava, P.P.; Pandiaraj, T.; Susmita, D.; Sinha, S.K. and Sinha, A.K. (2017). Characteristics of soil organic carbon, Total nitrogen and C/N Ratio in Tasar Silkworm growing Regions of Jharkhand and Bihar states. *Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 3(5): 426-429.
- Subbaswamy, M.R.; Reddy, M.M. and Sinha, A.K. (1994). Tank Silt: A cheap name to maniure to mulberry. *Indian Silk*. 32(10): 10.
- Subbaswamy, M.R.; Singhvi, N.R.; Naidu, B.V.; Reddy, M.M.; Jayaram, H. and Suryanarayan, N. (2004). Effect of source of nitrogen on phosphorus uptake and arginine content in mulberry. *Indian Journal of Sericulture*, 40: 182-184.
- Thangamalar, A.; Ramamoorthy, K.; Prabhu S. and Priyadarshini, P. (2018). Influence of different pruning techniques and integrated nutrient management on growth leaf yield of mulberry and its impact on Silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) Bioassay. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(2): 2963-2971.
- Vollrath, F. (1999). Biology of Spider Silk. Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 24(2-3): 81-88.
- Kerenhap, W.; Thiagarajan, V. and Kumar, V. (2007). Biochemical and bioassay studies on the influence of different organic manures on the growth of Mulberry variety V1 and silkworm, *Bombyx mori* Linn. *Caspian J. Env. Sci.*, 5(1): 51-56.